Novel One-pot Three-component Coupling Reaction with Trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate, Acyl Fluoride, and Aldehyde through the Horner— Wadsworth—Emmons Reaction

ORGANIC LETTERS

2012 Vol. 14, No. 16 4206–4209

Taiki Umezawa,* Tomoya Seino, and Fuyuhiko Matsuda

Division of Environmental Materials Science, Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

umezawa@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

Received July 9, 2012



A novel three-component coupling between trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate, acyl fluoride, and aldehyde has been developed. A sequential nucleophilic addition of lithio-trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate to the acyl fluoride and Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction of an aldehyde with the lithio- β -ketophosphonate generated in situ by desilylation at the α -position of the α -silyl- β -ketophosphonate by fluoride took place cleanly in a one-pot operation. Various *E*- and *Z*-enones were obtained in high yields with high stereoselectivities by this one-pot procedure.

The Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction (HWE reaction) is a reliable and powerful method for carbon– carbon bond formation via coupling between a β -ketophosphonate and an aldehyde, giving an α,β -unsaturated

compound with high stereoselectivity.¹ The HWE reaction has been broadly utilized for the synthesis of various compounds including natural products. In general, the β -ketophosphonate used as the HWE reaction precursor **A** is synthesized from a readily accessible compound. Representative examples for the synthesis of **A** are shown in Scheme 1. An aldehyde is often transformed into **A** in 2 steps through addition of commercially available methylphosphonate,

⁽¹⁾ Review of HWE reaction: (a) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 863–927. (b) Boutagy, J.; Thomas, R. *Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *74*, 87–99.

^{(2) (}a) Traoré, M.; Maynadier, M.; Souard, F.; Choisnard, L.; Vial, H.; Wong, Y.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1409–1417. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Jiang, X.; Lindsay-Scott, P. J.; Corbu, A.; Yamashiro, S.; Bacconi, A.; Fowler, V. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1139–1144. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Aversa, R. J.; Jin, J.; Rivas, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6855–6861. (d) Crimimins, M. T.; Zuccarello, J. L.; McDougall, P. J.; Ellis, J. M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 9235–9244. (e) Sparling, B. A.; Simpson, G. L.; Jamison, T. F. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3270–3280. (f) Frankowski, K. J.; Golden, J. E.; Zeng, Y.; Lei, Y. J. Aubé J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6018–6024. (g) Torihata, M.; Nakahara, T.; Kuwahara, S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2557–2559. (h) Fujiwara, K.; Aki, Y.; Yamamoto, F.; Kawamura, M.; Kobayashi, M.; Okano, A.; Awakura, D.; Shiga, S.; Murai, A.; Kawai, H.; Suzuki, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4523–4527.
(i) Yadav, J. S.; Venugopal, C. Synlett 2007, 2262–2266. (j) Nicolaou, K. C.; Nold, A. L.; Milburn, R. R.; Schindler, C. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6527–6532. (k) Hosokawa, S.; Seki, M.; Fukuda, H.; Tatsuta, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 2439–2442.

^{(3) (}a) Coppola, G. M. Synthesis **1988**, 81–84. (b) Evans, M. A.; Morken, J. P. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 3371–3373. (c) Rodriquez, M.; Bruno, I.; Cini, E.; Marchetti, M.; Taddei, M.; Gomez-Paloma, L. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 103–107. (d) Milburn, R. R.; McRae, K.; Chan, J.; Tedrow, J.; Larsen, R.; Faul, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **2009**, 50, 870–872. (e) Nilson, M. G.; Funk, R. L. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 4912–4915.

^{(4) (}a) Ezquerra, J.; Mendoza, J.; Pedregal, C.; Ramírez, C. *Tetra*hedron Lett. **1992**, 33, 5589–5590. (b) Gosselin, F.; Lubell, W. D. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, 65, 2163–2171. (c) Tchabanenko, K.; Chesworth, R.; Parker, J. S.; Anand, N. K.; Russell, A. T.; Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, 61, 11649–11656.

^{(5) (}a) Balczewski, P.; Mikolajczyk, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1153–1155.
(b) Motoyoshiya, J.; Miyajima, M.; Hirakawa, K.; Kakurai, T. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1326–1327. (c) Savignac, P.; Mathey, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 2829–2832.

followed by oxidation of the resultant alcohol (eq 1).² Carboxylic acid derivatives, such as esters,³ imides,⁴ or acyl chlorides,⁵ are also employed as precursors by addition of methylphosphonate (eq 2). The Michaelis–Arbuzov reaction, that is, substitution of an α -halocarbonyl compound by a trialkyl phosphite, also affords **A** (eq 3).⁶ However, these procedures sometimes encounter problems in purification due to side products or the high polarity of **A**.

Accordingly, we planned to develop the one-pot synthesis of the α,β -unsaturated ketone through the formation





of A accompanied by the instantaneous generation of its enolate and subsequent HWE reaction. We envisioned that the sequential reactions illustrated in Scheme 2 would be suitable for this purpose. The α -silyl- β -ketophosphonate 4 would be formed by the nucleophilic substitution reaction between the acyl fluoride 3 and the enolate 2 produced by treatment of the trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate 1 with a base. The acyl fluoride 3 can be obtained from the corresponding carboxylic acid and (diethylamino)sulfur trifluoride $(DAST)^7$ with high purity by simple extraction and is stable for several days. We expected that the fluoride ion liberated during the substitution would induce the in situ generation of the corresponding enolate 5 via desilylation at the α -position of 4.⁸ Subsequent addition of the aldehvde 6 to the reaction mixture would afford the HWE product 7. Herein, we would like to describe the novel onepot three-component coupling reaction.





Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

	0 ⊮ MeO ⁻ ?- MeO 1a (X equ	1) bas TMS THI 2) O F iv.) F THF,	se (Y equ F, -78 °C 3a (, ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	uiv) c, 20 min Z equiv) Ph o rt, 1 h	-	
ontw	MeO-P MeO e 5	$\frac{O}{R} \frac{\frac{PhCHC}{(1.0 \text{ er})}}{R}$ $\frac{R}{R} = (Ch)$	D (6a) quiv) ne Pl ne 1 ₂₎₄ Ph	n 7a (<i>E</i> on	R ly)	violda
entry	base	time (n)	Λ	I	L	yleid
1	n-BuLi	2	2.0	2.0	1.8	54%
2	<i>n</i> -BuLi	20	2.0	2.0	1.8	57%
3	NaHMDS	20	2.0	2.0	1.8	56%
4	KHMDS	20	2.0	2.0	1.8	76%
5	LHMDS	20	2.0	2.0	1.8	99%
6	LHMDS	2	2.0	2.0	1.8	80%
7	LHMDS	20	1.8	2.5	1.8	99%

Table 1 summarizes the optimization of reaction conditions using dimethyl trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate (1a),⁹ 5-phenylvaleryl fluoride (3a), and benzaldehyde (6a). At first, the reactions were carried out by employing 2.0 equiv (to 6a) of 1a, 2.0 equiv (to 6a) of the base, and 1.8 equiv (to 6a) of 3a. When *n*-BuLi or NaHMDS was used as the base, the three-component coupling reaction proceeded successfully in one-pot to give the *E*-enone 7a in 54-57% yields with complete stereoselectivity (entries 1-3). The reaction with KHMDS improved the yield to 76% (entry 4). An almost quantitative yield of 7a was obtained with LHMDS (entry 5). In the coupling reaction with LHMDS, a shorter reaction time resulted in a decrease in the yield of 7a (entry 6). When the amount of

^{(6) (}a) Taber, D. F.; Bai, S.; Guo, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 6904–6906. (b) Pietruszka, J.; Witt, A. *Synthesis* **2006**, 4266–4268.

^{(7) (}a) Middleton, W. J. J. Org. Chem. **1975**, 40, 574–578. (b) Markovskij, L. N.; Pashinnik, V. E.; Kirsanov, A. V. Synthesis **1973**, 787–789.

⁽⁸⁾ Desilylation from α -silylphosphonate or α -silylester by fluoride, see: (a) Latouche, R.; Texier-Boullet, F.; Harnelin, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1179–1182. (b) Kita, Y.; Sekihachi, J.; Hayashi, Y.; Da, Y.-Z.; Yamamoto, M.; Akai, S. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, *55*, 1108–1112. (c) Kawashima, T.; Ishii, T.; Inamoto, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1987**, *60*, 1831–1837. (d) Kawashima, T.; Ishii, T.; Inamoto, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 739–742.

^{(9) (}a) Hamada, A.; Braunstein, P. Organometallics **2009**, 28, 1688–1696. (b) Aboujaoude, E. E.; Liétjé, S.; Collignon, N. Synthesis **1986**, 934–937.

Table 2. One-pot Reaction with Various Substrates

R	0 ¹ H ₂ CO-P R ¹ H ₂ CO R ¹ H ₂ CO R ¹ 1 (1.8 equiv)	1) LHMDS (2.5 c THF, -78 °C, 2 2) 0 3 (1.8 F R ² THF, -	$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ 20 & \text{min} \\ \hline \end{array} & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} 20 & \text{min} \\ \hline \end{array} & & \\ \end{array} & & \\ \begin{array}{c} R^1 H_2 CO & P \\ \hline \end{array} & \\ \begin{array}{c} R^1 H_2 CO & R^1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 78 \ ^{\circ}C \ \text{to rt, 1 h} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} 5 \end{array}$	R ² R ³ CHO (6) (1.0 equiv) rt, 20 h	R ³ F 7 (E	$ \begin{array}{c} O \\ I \\ R^2 \\ R^1 \\ only) \end{array} $	
entry	R ¹	R ²	R ³	product		yield ^a	
1	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>p</i> -OMeC ₆ H ₄ (6b)	MeO O O	(7 b)	79% ^b	
2	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>p</i> -CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ (6c)	F ₃ C	(7c)	87%	
3	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	o-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄ (6d)	C C Ph	(7d)	94% ^b	
4	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>p</i> -BrC ₆ H ₄ (6e)	Br Ph	(7e)	95%	
5	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>p</i> -CNC ₆ H₄ (6f)	NC NC Ph	(7f)	98%	
6	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅ (6g)	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	(7 g)	82%	
7	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>t-</i> Bu (6h)	t-Bu ∽↓ Ph	(7 h)	61% ^b	
8	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	ر المعالي (6i)	N Ph	(7 i)	94%	
9	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	500 (6 j)		(7 j)	84%	
10	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₅ OBn (3b)	Рмво ⁻ Ph (6a)		(7 k)	99%	
11	H (1a)	(CH ₂) ₅ OAc (3c)	Ph (6a)	Ph CAC	(7 I)	74%	
12	H (1a)	CH=CHPh (3d)	Ph (6a)	Ph Ph	(7 m)	54% ^b	
13	H (1a)	.,ОРМВ (3е)	Ph (6a)		(7 n)	97% ^c	
14	Me (1b)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>p</i> -CNC ₆ H₄ (6f)	NC TY H4	(7o)	82% ^d	
15	Me (1b)	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph (3a)	<i>n</i> -C ₇ H ₁₅ (6g)	n-C ₇ H ₁₅	(7 p)	98% ^d	

^{*a*} Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{*b*} Stirred for 48 h. ^{*c*} LHMDS (2.0 equiv) was used. ^{*d*} Stirred for 48 h; 2.5 equiv each of **1b** and **3a**, and 3.0 equiv of *n*-BuLi as a base were used.

1a was reduced to 1.8 equiv (to **6a**), 2.5 equiv (to **6a**) of LHMDS was necessary to obtain **7a** with excellent yield (entry 7). In stark contrast, the same reaction with the anion generated from LHMDS and dimethyl methylphosphonate [MeP(O)(OMe)₂], **3a**, and **6a** did not take place

at all and any formation of **7a** or the corresponding β -ketophosphonate was not observed.¹⁰

As mentioned above, **7a** was quantitatively derived from **6a** with complete stereoselectivity under the optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 7). Next, the scope of substrates for the coupling reaction was examined.¹¹ As shown in Table 2, a wide variety of aldehydes and acyl fluorides participated in the one-pot procedure, providing the *E*-enones as the sole stereoisomers in high yields. In the coupling reactions of **3a**, the aromatic aldehydes **6b**–**f** with electron-donating or -withdrawing groups on the benzene ring afforded the *E*-enones **7b**–**f** in high yields, although longer reaction times were needed with the electron-donating aldehyde **6b** and sterically demanding aldehyde **6d** (entries 1–5). The alkyl aldehydes, octanal (**6g**) and 2,2-dimethylpropanal (**6h**), were also converted into the *E*-enones **7g** and **7h** (entries 6, 7).

⁽¹⁰⁾ Berté-Verrando, S.; Nief, F.; Patois, C.; Savignac, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 821–824.

⁽¹¹⁾ To a solution of **1a** (35.3 mg, 0.180 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added LHMDS (1.0 M in THF, $250 \,\mu$ L, 0.250 mmol) at $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C under Ar atmosphere. After 20 min, a solution of **3a** (32.4 mg, 0.180 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added to the mixture. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h, after which **6a** (10.2 μ L, 0.100 mmol) was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred for 20 h, quenched with saturated NaHCO₃, extracted with AcOEt (×3), washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (AcOEt/Hexane = 1:99) to give **7a** (26.0 mg, 0.0990 mmol, 99%) as colorless oil.

Table 3. Z-Selective One-pot Reaction

1	O RO RO 1c (1.8 equiv) TI R ² CHO (6)	1) NaHMDS (2.5 e THF, -78 °C, 20 2) O F R ¹ 3 (1.8 eq DA-1 (1.1 equiv.), s	quiv) O C $\xrightarrow{\min}$ RO- \overrightarrow{P} RO \overrightarrow{P} quiv) 5 solvent, -78 °C to \overrightarrow{P}) R ¹ rt, 1 h
-	(1.0 equiv) rt, 48 h F F F	$R^{1} = (CH_{2})_{4}Ph, R^{2}$ $R^{1} = (CH_{2})_{5}OBn, R^{2}$ $R^{1} = (CH_{2})_{5}OBn, R^{2}$ $R^{1} = (CH_{2})_{4}Ph, R^{2}$ $R^{1} = (CH_{2})_{5}OBn, R^{2}$	$CH_{2}CF_{3}$ $= p \cdot CNC_{6}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}OC_{2}H_{4}(7q)$ $= p \cdot CNC_{6}H_{4}(7q)$ $= n \cdot C_{7}H_{15}(7s)$ $= n \cdot C_{7}H_{15}(7t)$	l₄OMe) ₃ ')
entry	solvent	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	yield ^{a} $(E:Z)^{b}$
1	THF	$(CH_2)_4Ph~(\boldsymbol{3a})$	$p ext{-}\mathrm{CNC}_{6}\mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathbf{6f}\right)$	84% (7:93)
2	THF	$(CH_2)_5OBn\left(\mathbf{3b}\right)$	p-CNC ₆ H ₄ (6f)	65% (16:84)
3	PhMe-HMPA	$(CH_2)_4$ Ph (3a)	$n-C_7H_{15}(6g)$	67% (25:75)
4	PhMe-HMPA	$(CH_2)_5 OBn (\mathbf{3b})$	$n-C_7H_{15}(\mathbf{6g})$	95% (37:63)

^a Isolated yield of EZ mixture after column chromatograpohy. ^b Ratio was determined by analysis of crude ¹H NMR spectra.

Functional groups such as carbamate, epoxide, and PMB ether were compatible with the one-pot reaction and the highly functionalized *E*-enones 7i and 7i were obtained (entries 8, 9). Various acyl fluorides were also good substrates for the present reaction. Benzvl ether, acetate, and olefin were tolerated to give the *E*-enones 7k-m (entries 10–12). Furthermore, the branched acyl fluoride 3e bearing a chiral center at the α -position afforded the optically pure *E*-enone 7n without racemization (entry 13).¹² Trisubstituted E- α , β -unsaturated ketones were also readily accessed by the onepot procedure. Attempts to synthesize the trisubstituted olefins were conducted with diethyl 1-trimethylsilylethylphosphonate (1b).^{9b} The three-component couplings of 3a with

6f and 6g successfully occurred in a completely stereoselective manner using n-BuLi as the base instead of LHMDS to yield the trisubstituted *E*-enones 70 and 7p (entries 14, 15).

Z- α , β -Unsaturated ketones have often been constructed in several steps (e.g., addition of acetylide to aldehyde, partial reduction of triple bond to Z-olefin, and oxidation of the resultant alcohol).¹³ For rapid access to this unit, the Z-selective three-component coupling reaction was examined according to the reports by Still^{14a} and Ando.^{14b,c} Among the phosphonates and the bases tested, the combination of bis(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate (1c)¹⁵ and NaHMDS in the presence of TDA-1¹⁶ afforded the best selectivity (E:Z = 7:93) with **3a** and **6f**, giving the Z-enone 7q in good yield (Table 3, entry 1).¹⁷ The acyl fluoride 3b also reacted with 6f in high selectivity to afford the Z-enone 7r (entry 2). The coupling reactions of the alkyl aldehyde 6g with 3a and 3b gave the Z-enones 7s and 7t as the major stereoisomers (entries 3, 4).

In summary, we have developed a novel three-component coupling reaction with trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate, acyl fluoride, and aldehyde. Nucleophilic substitution of lithio-trimethylsilylmethylphosphonate with acyl fluoride and subsequent HWE reaction of the aldehyde with the lithio- β -ketophosphonate generated in situ through desilyation of the α -silyl group of the α -silyl- β -ketophosphonate by the fluoride ion proceeded smoothly in a one-pot operation. Various substrates participated in the one-pot coupling reaction to give E- or Z-enones stereoselectively in high vields. To the best of our knowledge, the present reaction is the first example of this type of one-pot coupling reaction. This new synthetic method will allow rapid access to α,β -unsaturated ketones with high stereoselectivities.

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹²⁾ Confirmation of optical purity of 7n, see Supporting Information. (13) (a) Paterson, I.; Anderson, E. A.; Dalby, S. M.; Lim, J. H.; Genovino, J.; Maltas, P.; Moessner, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3021-3025. (b) Bajwa, N.; Jennings, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3638-3641. (c) Paterson, I.; Anderson, E. A.; Dalby, S. M.; Louiseleur, O. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4125-4128. (d) Stampfer, W.; Kosjek, B.; Faber, K.; Kroutil, W. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2003, 14, 275-280.

^{(14) (}a) Still, W. C.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4405-4408. (b) Ando, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4105-4108. (c) Ando, K. J. Syn. Org. Chem. Jpn. 2000, 58, 869-876.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Preparation of 1c, see Supporting Information.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Touchard, F. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5519-5523.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Optimization for Z-selective HWE reaction, see Supporting Information.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.